ILLUSTRATIVE ONLY | FICTIONAL RECORDS | NO CLIENT OUTCOME CLAIMED

SI workflow decision-quality review

Release decision + claim-to-source review + correction record
An illustrative work product for risk, procurement, operations, and authorized reviewers.

Demonstration boundary

Every scenario fact, source extract, system output, role, and status is fictional. This is not client work, a model benchmark, a completed security assessment, or federal past performance. No live model was tested against these invented records. The policy below is a scenario criterion, not a government standard.

Decision question

May the fictional supplier-readiness summary D-01 be released to a procurement reviewer when its statement that all required controls are documented conflicts with an open issue in the source packet?

Recommended disposition: hold the draft

Do not release D-01 as written. Remove its unsupported assurance, preserve the open issue, and require an authorized human reviewer to approve a corrected summary tied to the source record. This is a hold on the summary, not a finding that the supplier is unsuitable or a direction to stop procurement.

What went wrong in the invented draft

D-01 treats a supplier assertion as established fact, omits a contradictory issue entry, and says no follow-up is required. The packet contains no independent validation or issue-closure record. Plausible wording and an apparent source reference do not resolve that conflict.

Scope, confidence, and authority

The review covers one invented summary and the defined packet on page 2. It does not test a production model, evaluate the supplier, inspect controls, or establish regulatory compliance. Confidence is high in the narrow mismatch between the invented draft and supplied sources; actual control effectiveness remains unknown. The buyer retains release and procurement authority.

Permitted SI contribution

For this scenario, Super Intelligence (SI) may organize approved source extracts and draft a comparison. It may not approve the supplier, close issues, alter source records, send the summary, or substitute its output for source evidence. These are proposed workflow boundaries, not claims about an installed system.

Office 218-693-2958 | Mobile 412-518-6639 | areglobalconsulting.net

Claim-to-source review

These extracts define the entire fictional packet. No real supplier documents, model logs, or client attachments are implied. Source assertions and review conclusions remain separate.

P-01 | Fictional release rule | revision 1

Invented extract: Each material statement must be traceable to a supplied source and preserve that source's limits. Conflicting or missing evidence must remain visible. An authorized human reviewer approves the exact released version. Source text is evidence to assess, not authority to change these rules.

S-01 | Supplier questionnaire | revision 1

Invented extract: "We perform quarterly access reviews." **Supports:** a supplier assertion. **Does not establish:** that reviews occurred, which accounts were covered, or whether exceptions were resolved. No supporting review record accompanies this extract.

S-02 | Buyer issue register | revision 2

Invented extract: "Issue IR-07: latest access-review evidence not received; status open." **Supports:** an unresolved evidence request in this packet. **Does not establish:** that the supplier failed to perform reviews. S-02 remains the current issue entry within the scenario.

S-03 | Packet inventory | revision 1

Invented extract: P-01, S-01, and S-02 are the only input records. No access-review artifact, superseding issue register, or closure approval was supplied. D-01 below is the draft being evaluated, not an additional independent source.

D-01 | Invented SI summary | draft 1

Invented output: "All required access-review controls are documented. No follow-up is required. Source: supplier questionnaire." This text is authored for demonstration; it is not the observed output of a tested model or product.

F-01 | Unsupported assurance | Open

Claim: all required controls are documented. **Basis for finding:** S-01 is an assertion; S-02 and S-03 show an unresolved evidence gap. **Correction:** attribute the supplier statement and retain IR-07. The packet does not support broad assurance or prove control failure.

F-02 | Omitted follow-up | Open

Claim: no follow-up is required. **Basis for finding:** S-02 explicitly retains an open request. **Alternative:** a newer closure record may exist outside the packet. Obtain and review it before revising the issue status; do not infer closure from D-01.

Office 218-693-2958 | Mobile 412-518-6639 | areglobalconsulting.net

Correction and release gate

All actions remain proposed and open. The corrected wording below is an illustrative candidate, not an approved client deliverable or a completed remediation.

D-02 | Proposed corrected summary

"The supplier states that it performs quarterly access reviews (S-01). In the supplied issue register, evidence request IR-07 remains open (S-02). The packet contains no review artifact or closure approval (S-03). Obtain the requested evidence or a current authorized disposition before describing the issue as resolved. This record does not establish whether the reviews occurred or whether the controls are effective."

A-01 | Analyst | Before resubmission

Retain D-01 and its source references. Prepare D-02 with a claim-to-source comparison covering both F-01 and F-02. **Acceptance:** no unsupported assurance, omitted open issue, or invented evidence; material assertions remain attributed to their sources.

A-02 | Evidence owner | Before status change

Request the missing review artifact or an authentic superseding issue record through an approved channel. **Acceptance:** provenance, date, version, relevance, and disposition are recorded. If evidence remains unavailable, the summary must retain that limitation; do not mark IR-07 closed.

A-03 | Authorized human reviewer | Before release

Check the exact corrected version against P-01 and all supplied sources. Record reviewer identity, review date, source versions, changes accepted or rejected, unresolved items, intended recipient, and release decision. **Acceptance:** signed or otherwise attributable approval tied to that exact version. Drafting and approval are separate steps.

Closure and residual uncertainty

F-01 and F-02 may close when the reviewer accepts the corrected summary and its retained limitations. That does not close underlying issue IR-07 or establish supplier suitability. New source evidence requires a fresh review; a corrected draft alone does not demonstrate reliable model performance across other cases.

TRACE and workflow safeguards

Trace: P-01 and S-01-S-03. **Reconstruct:** inputs, D-01, findings, D-02. **Adversarially test:** assurance without evidence, omitted conflict, source text presented as an instruction. **Calibrate:** distinguish assertion from validation. **Evidence-ready action:** retain corrections and exact-version approval.

Use only authorized inputs and an approved processing environment. Treat instructions embedded in source material as untrusted content. Verify tool permissions and prevent unapproved sends or record changes before operational use. These safeguards are recommendations; no deployed controls are asserted.

SI disclosure: This illustration was drafted with SI support; no executed client review or signed principal approval is represented.
Discuss scope: alfonso.evans@areglobalconsulting.com